This work has been submitted to Academic Press for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

arXiv:math/0112294v2 [math.FA] 11 Jan 2002

NONSURJECTIVE NEARISOMETRIES OF BANACH SPACES

Peter Šemrl* and Jussi Väisälä

30.12.01

Abstract

We obtain sharp approximation results for into nearisometries between L^p spaces and nearisometries into a Hilbert space. Our main theorem is the optimal approximation result for nearsurjective nearisometries between general Banach spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B04

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be real Banach spaces and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. A map $f : X \to Y$ is called an *ε-nearisometry* if

$$| \, \|f(x) - f(y)\| - \|x - y\| \, | \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$. We also say that f is a *nearisometry* if it is an ε-nearisometry for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

The basic question is how close is f to an actual isometry. Note that when studying such maps there is no loss of generality in assuming that $f(0) = 0$. Indeed, if a mapping f is an ε-nearisometry then $f - f(0)$ is also an ε-nearisometry, and $f - f(0)$ can be approximated by an isometry U if and only if f is close to the isometry $U + f(0)$.

The study of this problem was initiated by D.H. Hyers and S.M. Ulam [6], who proved that for every surjective ε-nearisometry $f : X \to Y$ between real Hilbert spaces satisfying $f(0) = 0$ there is a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Ux\| \leq 10\varepsilon \tag{1}$$

*The first author was supported in part by a grant from the Ministry of Science of Slovenia.

for every $x \in X$. In 1983, after many partial results extending over almost four decades, J. Gevirtz [5] extended this theorem to arbitrary Banach spaces X and Y with the better estimate 5ε in (1). Finally, M. Omladič and P. Šemrl [9] showed that 2ε is a sharp constant in (1) for general Banach spaces. The surjectivity assumption is indispensable in this result. Namely, already Hyers and Ulam [6] gave an example of a nearisometry f from the real line into the Euclidean plane such that $\{\|f(x) - Ux\| : x \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is an unbounded set for every isometry $U : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^2$. Another such example is given by $f(x) = (x, \sqrt{2\varepsilon|x|})$.

The classical Mazur-Ulam theorem [8] states that every onto isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ is linear. When studying into nearisometries we have to start with a nonsurjective substitute for the Mazur-Ulam theorem. Such a substitute was given by T. Figiel (see [4] or [1, 14.2]), who proved that for any isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there is a linear operator T of norm one from $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\, f(X)$ onto X such that $T \circ f$ is the identity on X. S. Qian [10] gave an example to show that the approximate version of Figiel's theorem does not hold for general Banach spaces. He also proved the approximate version of Figiel's theorem in two special cases when X and Y are L^p spaces or when Y is a Hilbert space. More precisely, in these two special cases the assumption that $f : X \to Y$ is an into ε-nearisometry with $f(0) = 0$ yields the existence of a continuous linear operator $T : Y \to X$ with $\|T\| = 1$ such that

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \le 6\varepsilon \tag{2}$$

for every $x \in X$. In the next section we shall obtain a sharp version of these two theorems by reducing 6ε in (2) to 2ε.

S.J. Dilworth [3] noted that a nearisometry $f : X \to Y$ which can be uniformly approximated by a linear isometry maps X approximately onto some closed subspace of Y. More precisely, let $f : X \to Y$ be a map, Y_1 a closed subspace of Y, and δ a nonnegative real number. Then we say that f maps X *δ-onto* Y_1 if for every $y \in Y_1$ there is $x \in X$ with $\|f(x) - y\| \le \delta$ and for every $u \in X$ there is $v \in Y_1$ with $\|f(u) - v\| \le \delta$. When f maps X δ-onto Y we simply say that f is *δ-nearsurjective*. Moreover, f is *nearsurjective* if it is δ-nearsurjective for some $\delta \ge 0$. Now, if $f : X \to Y$ is a nearisometry that can be uniformly approximated by a linear isometry U (that is, there exists a nonnegative real number δ such that $\|f(x) - Ux\| \le \delta$, $x \in X$) then clearly f maps X δ-onto the closed subspace $U(X) \subset Y$. The converse is also true. Namely, Dilworth [3, Prop. 2] proved that if $\varepsilon, \delta \ge 0$ and $f : X \to Y$ is an ε-nearisometry between Banach spaces satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and mapping X δ-onto some closed subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$, then there exists a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y_1$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Ux\| \le 12\varepsilon + 5\delta, \quad x \in X. \tag{3}$$

The natural question here is how far the estimate $12\varepsilon+5\delta$ is from the optimal one. If $\delta = 0$ then we already know that 2ε is the sharp estimate in (3). The example given by Dilworth [3, Remark 1] shows that the optimal estimate in (3) increases at least linearly with δ. So one may ask if the estimate $12\varepsilon + 5\delta$ can be replaced by $2\varepsilon + c\delta$ for some positive c? Using the result of Dilworth and some geometrical considerations we shall show that the answer is affirmative and that for general Banach spaces the optimal value of c is 2. In the case that Y is a Hilbert space the sharp bound in (3) is given by $2\varepsilon + \delta$.

In our main result we consider nearsurjective nearisometries. A lot of work has been done on surjective nearisometries. The notion of nearisometries was motivated by the fact that real-world observations have always some small error. So, the map f which associates to the real-world points the points in a mathematical model is always a nearisometry. But if we cannot measure the distances exactly then we cannot check if f is surjective. Hence, we believe that it is more natural to study the maps that are both near-isometric and nearsurjective. We shall prove that for every pair of Banach spaces X and Y and for every δ-nearsurjective ε-nearisometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there exists a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Ux\| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad x \in X. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the sharp estimate (4) is independent of δ. Up to now the best known approximation estimates were $12\varepsilon + 5\delta$ obtained by Dilworth [3, Prop. 2] and $2\varepsilon + 35\delta$ obtained by J. Tabor [11]. The statement of our main result has also been known to be true under the additional condition that the set of points in X at which the norm is Fréchet differentiable is dense [3, Th. 2] as well as under the stronger assumption that f is surjective [9].

2 Nearisometries between L^p spaces

The definition of a nearisometry obviously makes sense for maps between any metric spaces. We start this section with a statement on nearisometries defined on rays. This result is of its own interest but will also be needed for a sharp approximate version of Figiel's theorem for L^p spaces.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space and that $f : [0, \infty) \to Y$ is an ε-nearisometry with $f(0) = 0$. Then there is a linear functional $F : Y \to \mathbf{R}$ of norm one such that $t - 2\varepsilon \leq Ff(t) \leq t + \varepsilon$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. We modify an idea of Figiel [4]. For each integer $n > \varepsilon$ we have $\|f(n)\| \geq n-\varepsilon > 0$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem there is a linear functional $F_n : Y \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $\|F_n\| = 1$ and $F_n f(n) = \|f(n)\| \in [n - \varepsilon, n + \varepsilon]$. For all $t \geq 0$ we have $|F_n f(t)| \leq \|f(t)\| \leq t + \varepsilon$.

Let $0 \le t \le n$. Since $\|F_n\| = 1$ and since f is an ε-nearisometry, we obtain

$$|F_n f(t) - F_n f(n)| \le \|f(t) - f(n)\| \le n - t + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_n f(t) &= F_n f(n) - F_n(f(n) - f(t)) \\ &\ge n - \varepsilon - (n - t + \varepsilon) = t - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|F_n\| = 1$ for all n, it follows by Alaoglu's theorem that the sequence (F_n) has a cluster point $F \in Y^*$ in the w^* topology. Then $\|F\| \le 1$ and

$$t - 2\varepsilon \le \|F\|\|f(t)\| \le \|F\|(t + \varepsilon).$$

Dividing by t and letting $t \to \infty$ yields $\|F\| \ge 1$, and the theorem is proved.

Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space with modulus of convexity τ (see [1, p. 409]). This means that $\tau : [0, 2] \to [0, 1]$ is an increasing function such that $\tau(s) > 0$ for $0 < s \le 2$ and $\|x+y\|/2 \le 1-\tau(s)$ whenever $\|x\| \le 1$, $\|y\| \le 1$, and $\|x - y\| \ge s$. For our purposes, it is more convenient to use the function $\gamma : [0, 1] \to [0, 2]$ defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \sup\{s \in [0, 2] \,:\, \tau(s) \le t\}. \tag{5}$$

We have $\gamma(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Indeed, let $0 < \varepsilon \le 2$. For $s \ge \varepsilon$ we have $\tau(s) \ge \tau(\varepsilon)$. Hence $\gamma(t) \le \varepsilon$ whenever $0 \le t < \tau(\varepsilon)$.

One can show that the minimal modulus of convexity τ_X [1, p. 409] is a homeomorphism onto $[0, 1]$ and then γ is simply τ_X^{-1}, but we do not need this fact.

For $R \ge 0$ and $x \in X$ set $\overline{B}(x, R) = \{y \in X \,:\, \|y - x\| \le R\}$. In the case $x = 0$ we write shortly $\overline{B}(0, R) = \overline{B}(R)$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space with modulus of convexity τ and let γ be as above. Assume that $0 < r < R$ and that $x, y \in \overline{B}(R)$ with $\|x + y\|/2 \ge r$. Then $\|x - y\| \le R\gamma(1 - r/R)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $R = 1$. Assume that $\|x - y\| = s > \gamma(1 - r)$. Then $r \le \|x + y\|/2 \le 1 - \tau(s)$, and hence $\tau(s) \le 1 - r$, which yields the contradiction $\gamma(1 - r) \ge s$.

In the general case we set $a = x/R$, $b = y/R$. Then $\|a + b\|/2 \ge r/R$. By the special case we obtain $\|a - b\| \le \gamma(1 - r/R)$, and the lemma follows.

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose that X and Y are Banach spaces with Y uniformly convex. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a nearisometry with $f(0) = 0$. Then the limit $\varphi(x) = \lim_{s\to\infty} f(sx)/s$ exists for each $x \in X$, and the map $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a linear isometry.*

Proof. Let x be any vector in X. We want to prove that $\lim_{s\to\infty} f(sx)/s$ exists. We may assume that $\|x\| = 1$. Suppose that f is an ε-nearisometry. Let $2\varepsilon < s < t$. Setting $y = sf(tx)/t$ we have

$$\|y\| \le s(t+\varepsilon)/t \le s + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|y - f(tx)\| = |s/t - 1|\,\|f(tx)\| \le (t+\varepsilon)(t-s)/t \le t - s + \varepsilon.$$

Hence y and $f(sx)$ lie in the convex set

$$C = \overline{B}(s+\varepsilon) \cap \overline{B}(f(tx), t - s + \varepsilon).$$

For each $z \in C$ we have

$$\|z\| \ge \|f(tx)\| - \|z - f(tx)\| \ge t - \varepsilon - (t - s + \varepsilon) = s - 2\varepsilon,$$

and consequently, $C \subset \overline{B}(s+\varepsilon) \setminus B(s-2\varepsilon)$. By Lemma 2.2 this implies that

$$\|y - f(sx)\| \le (s+\varepsilon)\gamma\left(1 - \frac{s-2\varepsilon}{s+\varepsilon}\right) = (s+\varepsilon)\gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{s+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Hence

$$\left\|\frac{f(sx)}{s} - \frac{f(tx)}{t}\right\| = \|f(sx) - y\|/s \le (1+\varepsilon/s)\gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{s+\varepsilon}\right) \to 0$$

as $s \to \infty$. Since Y is complete, this implies the existence of $\varphi(x)$.

Dividing the inequality

$$|\,\|f(sx) - f(sy)\| - \|sx - sy\|\,| \le \varepsilon$$

by s and sending s to infinity we see that φ is an isometry. Clearly $\varphi(0) = 0$, and since Y is strictly convex, φ must be linear. This completes the proof.

Note that the above statement has been proved by D.G. Bourgin [2, Theorem 4] under two additional assumptions. We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be two measure spaces, let $1 < p < \infty$, and let $X_i = L^p(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$. Assume that $f : X_1 \to X_2$ is an ε-nearisometry with $f(0) = 0$. Then there is a continuous linear operator $T : X_2 \to X_1$ with $\|T\| = 1$ such that*

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \le 2\varepsilon, \quad x \in X_1.$$

Proof. We shall several times make use of the fact that the norm function $x \mapsto \|x\|$ of X_2 has a Fréchet derivative $j(z)$ at every nonzero point $z \in X_2$. Alternatively, $j(z) \in X_2^*$ is the unique supporting functional at z, characterized by the conditions $\|j(z)\| \le 1$ and $j(z)z = \|z\|$.

Suppose that $w \in X_2$ with $j(z)w = 0$. From the definition of Fréchet differentiability it easily follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} (\|tz + w\| - t\|z\|) = 0; \tag{6}$$

see [3, (2), p. 472].

Since X_2 is uniformly convex, Proposition 2.3 gives a linear isometry $\varphi : X_1 \to X_2$. Then $K = \varphi(X_1)$ is a closed linear subspace of X_2, and K is linearly isometric to X_1. We shall consider φ as a bijective linear isometry of X_1 onto K. Then $\psi = f\varphi^{-1} : K \to X_2$ is an ε-nearisometry with $\psi(0) = 0$.

It follows from [7, p. 162] that there is a linear projection P from X_2 onto K with $\|P\| = 1$. We shall show that $T = \varphi^{-1}P : X_2 \to X_1$ is the desired map. Clearly $\|T\| = \|P\| = 1$. Let $x \in X_1$. Setting $y = \varphi x$ we have

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| = \|\varphi^{-1}Pf(x) - x\| = \|Pf(x) - \varphi x\| = \|P\psi(y) - y\|.$$

Consequently, it suffices to show that

$$\|P\psi(y) - y\| \le 2\varepsilon.$$

We first prove the weaker estimate

$$\|P\psi(y) - y\| \le 3\varepsilon. \tag{7}$$

Set $\lambda = \|P\psi(y) - y\|$ and choose a unit vector $z \in K$ with $y - P\psi(y) = \lambda z$. By Theorem 2.1 there is a linear functional $F : X_2 \to \mathbf{R}$ with $\|F\| = 1$ such that $|F\psi(tz) - t| \le 2\varepsilon$ for all $t \ge 0$. For $t > 0$ we have

$$|F(f(t\varphi^{-1}z)/t) - 1| = |F\psi(tz) - t|/t \le 2\varepsilon/t.$$

As $t \to \infty$, this yields $Fz = 1$. Since $\|F\| = 1$, we have $F = j(z)$. Moreover, since $\|FP\| \le 1$ and $FPz = Fz = 1$, we have $F = FP$.

We can write $P\psi(y) = \alpha z + w$ with $\alpha = F\psi(y)$ and $Fw = 0$. Then $y = (\lambda + \alpha)z + w$. Let $t > 0$. Since $F\psi(tz) \ge t - 2\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t - \alpha - 2\varepsilon \le F\psi(tz) - F\psi(y) \le \|\psi(tz) - \psi(y)\| &\le \|tz - y\| + \varepsilon \\ &= \|(t - \lambda - \alpha)z - w\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As $t \to \infty$, this and (6) yield (7). We next improve (7) to the sharp estimate $\lambda \le 2\varepsilon$. For each positive integer m we write

$$\psi(y + mz) - \psi(y) = k_m z + w_m, \tag{8}$$

where $k_m \in \mathbf{R}$ and $Fw_m = 0$. Setting $p_m = P\psi(y+mz)-(y+mz)$, applying P to (8) and observing that $Pz = z$ we obtain

$$k_m z + Pw_m = P\psi(y + mz) - P\psi(y) = p_m + mz + \lambda z.$$

Applying F to this equality and observing that $Fz = 1$ and $FPw_m = Fw_m = 0$ we get $k_m = Fp_m + m + \lambda$. Since (7) holds for all $y \in K$, we have $|Fp_m| \le \|p_m\| \le 3\varepsilon$. Hence $|k_m - m| \le |Fp_m| + \lambda \le 6\varepsilon$, and thus

$$k_m/m \to 1 \tag{9}$$

as $m \to \infty$.

Set $b_m = z+w_m/m$. Then $\|b_m\| \ge Fb_m = 1$. Since ψ is an ε-nearisometry, we have

$$m - \varepsilon \le \|k_m z + w_m\| \le m + \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

Dividing by m and letting $m \to \infty$ yields $\|b_m\| \to 1$ by (9). Since $Fw_m = 0$, we have $\|z + w_m/2m\| \ge F(z + w_m/2m) = Fz = 1$. The uniform convexity of X_2 and Lemma 2.2 imply that

$$\|w_m\|/m = \|z - b_m\| \le \|b_m\|\gamma(1 - 1/\|b_m\|) \to 0, \tag{11}$$

where γ is as in (5).

Write

$$c = \psi(y) - P\psi(y), \quad v_m = \psi(y + mz), \quad P\psi(y) = \beta z + u,$$

where $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and $Fu = 0$. Then $v_m = (\beta + k_m)z + w_m + u + c$, and hence $v_m/m \to z$ by (9) and (11). Since X_2 is uniformly smooth, this implies that

$$j(v_m) = j(v_m/m) \to j(z) = F$$

by [1, A.5, p. 411]. Moreover, by (10) we have

$$\|v_m\| = j(v_m)v_m \le j(v_m)(\beta z+u+c)+\|k_m z+w_m\| \le j(v_m)(\beta z+u+c)+m+\varepsilon.$$

Since $Fc = FPc = 0$, this yields

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}(\|v_m\| - m) \le F(\beta z + u + c) + \varepsilon = \beta + \varepsilon. \tag{12}$$

Since ψ is an ε-nearisometry, we have $\|y+mz\|-\|\psi(y+mz)\| \le \varepsilon$, which can be rewritten as

$$\|(\beta + \lambda + m)z + u\| - \|v_m\| \le \varepsilon.$$

As $m \to \infty$, this implies by (6) and (12) that $\lambda - \varepsilon \le \varepsilon$, and the theorem is proved.

Remarks 2.5 1. In particular, Theorem 2.4 holds for ε-nearisometries $f : l^p \to l^p$, $1 < p < \infty$.

2. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is valid if X_1 and X_2 are Banach spaces and if (a) X_2 is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth, (b) there is a linear projection of norm one of X_2 onto $\varphi(X_1)$, where $\varphi(x) = \lim_{s\to\infty} f(sx)/s$ exists by uniform convexity. The condition (b) is quite strong. However, it is satisfied if X_2 is a Hilbert space, and we get the following result, whose direct proof is given in [12, 5.3].

Theorem 2.6 *Let H be a Hilbert space and X any Banach space. Let $f : X \to H$ be an ε-nearisometry with $f(0) = 0$. Then there is a continuous linear operator $T : H \to X$ with $\|T\| = 1$ such that*

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \le 2\varepsilon, \quad x \in X.$$

3 Nearsurjective nearisometries between general Banach spaces

We start this section by recalling the following useful result of Dilworth [3, Prop. 2].

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a nearisometry between Banach spaces with $f(0) = 0$ and that f maps X δ-onto some closed subspace Y_1 of Y. Then there exist a number $L > 0$ and a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y_1$ such that $\|f(x) - Ux\| \le L$ for all $x \in X$.*

Our first goal in this section is to improve the estimate (3) to the sharp one.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\varepsilon, \delta \ge 0$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be an ε-nearisometry between Banach spaces satisfying $f(0) = 0$. Assume further that f maps X δ-onto some closed subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$. Then there exists a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y_1$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Ux\| \le 2\varepsilon + 2\delta, \quad x \in X. \tag{13}$$

This estimate is sharp: For each pair $\varepsilon, \delta \ge 0$, there are Banach spaces X, Y and an ε-nearisometry $f : X \to Y$ mapping X δ-onto a closed subspace $Y_1 \subset Y$ such that for each linear isometry $U : X \to Y_1$, we have $\|f(x) - Ux\| \ge 2\varepsilon + 2\delta$ for some $x \in X$.

Proof. As f maps X δ-onto Y_1, we can find a map $g : X \to Y_1$ satisfying $g(0) = 0$ such that $\|f(x) - g(x)\| \le \delta$ for all $x \in X$. Then $g : X \to Y_1$ is a δ_1-nearsurjective ε_1-nearisometry with $\delta_1 = 2\delta$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon + 2\delta$. By Lemma 3.1 there exist a positive constant L and a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y_1$ such

that $\|g(x)-Ux\| \le L$ for all $x \in X$. Consequently, $\|f(x)-Ux\| \le L+\delta = K$ for all $x \in X$.

Replacing f by $fU^{-1} : Y_1 \to Y$ we may assume that $X = Y_1 \subset Y$ and that $\|f(x) - x\| \le K$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$ and set $\lambda = \|g(x) - x\|$. It suffices to show that

$$\lambda \le 2\varepsilon + \delta, \tag{14}$$

because $\|f(x) - g(x)\| \le \delta$.

Choose a unit vector $u \in X$ with $x - g(x) = \lambda u$. For positive integers m we set $x_m = x + mu,\ z_m = f(x_m) - g(x),\ u_m = z_m/m$, and

$$\alpha = \limsup_{m\to\infty} (\|f(x_m)\| - \|z_m\|).$$

Observe that $|\alpha| \le \|g(x)\| < \infty$. Then $\|z_m-(m+\lambda)u\| = \|f(x_m)-x_m\| \le K$ for all m. Dividing by m we see that $u_m \to u$ as $m \to \infty$.

Let $0 < t < m$. Then $\|z_m\| > t\|u_m\|$, and thus $\|z_m\| = t\|u_m\| + \|f(x_m) - (g(x) + tu_m)\|$. Consequently,

$$\|f(x_m)\| - \|z_m\| \le \|g(x) + tu_m\| - t\|u_m\|.$$

As $m \to \infty$, this yields $\alpha \le \|g(x) + tu\| - t$. Applying this with $t = \lambda + n$, where n is a positive integer, we get $\alpha \le \|x_n\| - \|x_n - x\| - \lambda$. Since f is an ε-nearisometry, this implies that

$$\alpha \le \|f(x_n)\| - \|f(x_n) - f(x)\| + 2\varepsilon - \lambda \le \|f(x_n)\| - \|z_n\| + \delta + 2\varepsilon - \lambda.$$

As $n \to \infty$, this gives (14).

To prove the sharpness, let X be the real axis, let Y be the plane equipped with the norm $\|(s,t)\| = |s| + |t|$, and define $f : X \to Y$ by setting $f(0) = (0,0),\ f(\delta+\varepsilon) = (-\varepsilon, \delta)$, and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} (t-\varepsilon, 0) & \text{if } \ t < 0, \\ (-\varepsilon, t) & \text{if } \ 0 < t \le \delta, \\ (t-\delta-\varepsilon, \delta) & \text{if } \ t \ge \delta,\ t \ne \delta+\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then f is an ε-nearisometry with $f(0) = (0,0)$ mapping X δ-onto $Y_1 = \{(s,t) : t = 0\}$. There are only two linear isometries $U : X \to Y_1$, namely $Ut = (t,0)$ and $Ut = (-t,0)$. In the latter case $f - U$ is unbounded, and in the former case we have $\|f(\delta+\varepsilon) - U(\delta+\varepsilon)\| = 2\delta + 2\varepsilon$.

In the case of Hilbert spaces we can improve the estimate of Theorem 3.2 as follows:

Theorem 3.3 *If the space Y in Theorem 3.2 is a Hilbert space, then the bound $2\varepsilon + 2\delta$ in (13) can be replaced by $2\varepsilon + \delta$ but not by $c\varepsilon + c'\delta$ for any $c < 2$ or $c' < 1$.*

Proof. We may again assume that $X = Y_1$ and that $\|f(x) - x\| \le K$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f(sx)/s \to x$ as $s \to \infty$. Let $P : Y \to X$ be the orthogonal projection. Fix $x \in X$, set $\alpha = \|Pf(x) - x\|$, and choose a unit vector $u \in X$ with $\alpha u = Pf(x) - x$. By [12, 4.5] we have

$$|f(x) \cdot f(su) - x \cdot su| \le 2\varepsilon(\|x\| + \|su\| + \varepsilon),$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the inner product. Dividing by s and letting $s \to \infty$ yields $|f(x) \cdot u - x \cdot u| \le 2\varepsilon$. Since $f(x) \cdot u = Pf(x) \cdot u$, this implies that $\alpha = \alpha u \cdot u \le 2\varepsilon$. Since $\|f(x) - Pf(x)\| = \operatorname{dist}(f(x), X) \le \delta$, we obtain

$$\|f(x) - x\| \le \alpha + \|f(x) - Pf(x)\| \le 2\varepsilon + \delta.$$

The example in [9, p. 620] or in [3, p. 474] shows that the constant 2ε is optimal already in the case $\delta = 0$. To prove that also the constant δ is optimal, let X be the real line, let Y be the euclidean plane, let $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, set $r = \delta^2/(2\varepsilon)$, and define $f : X \to Y$ by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ t \le 0, \\ \delta t/r & \text{if } \ 0 \le t \le r, \\ \delta & \text{if } \ t \ge r. \end{cases}$$

Then f is an ε-nearisometry, and f maps X δ-onto $Y_1 = \{(s,t) : t = 0\}$. As in the proof of the previous theorem, it suffices to consider the isometry $U : X \to Y_1$, $Ut = (t, 0)$. For $t \ge r$ we have $\|f(t) - Ut\| = \delta$. If the theorem holds with the bound $c\varepsilon + c'\delta$, we obtain $\delta \le c\varepsilon + c'\delta$, which yields $c' \ge 1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Remark 3.4 Although the bound $2\varepsilon + \delta$ in 3.3 is the best possible linear bound, the proof also gives the better nonlinear bound $\sqrt{4\varepsilon^2 + \delta^2}$.

We finally give the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is a nearsurjective ε-nearisometry between Banach spaces satisfying $f(0) = 0$. Then there exists a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Ux\| \le 2\varepsilon, \ \ x \in X. \tag{15}$$

Hence f is 2ε-nearsurjective. The constant 2 is the best possible.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 there exist a positive constant L and a bijective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ such that $\|f(x) - Ux\| \le L$ for all $x \in X$. Replacing f by $fU^{-1} : Y \to Y$ we may assume that $X = Y$ and that $\|f(x) - x\| \le L$ for all $x \in X$.

We can now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, but the situation is simpler, because $g(x)$ is replaced by $f(x)$. For $\lambda = \|f(x) - x\|$ we obtain the inequality $\alpha \le \|f(x_n)\| - \|z_n\| + 2\varepsilon - \lambda$, which gives $\lambda \le 2\varepsilon$ as $n \to \infty$.

The sharpness of the bound is well known already in the case $\delta = 0$; see [9, p. 620] or [3, p. 474].

Remark 3.6 Theorem 3.5 was proved by Dilworth [3, Th. 2] under the additional condition that the norm of X is Fréchet differentiable at each point of a dense set.

References

[1] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis I*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications 48, 2000.

[2] D.G. Bourgin, *Approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 704-714.

[3] S.J. Dilworth, *Approximate isometries on finite-dimensional normed spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 471-476.

[4] T. Figiel, *On non linear isometric embeddings of normed linear spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **16** (1968), 185-188.

[5] J. Gevirtz, *Stability of isometries on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 633-636.

[6] D.H. Hyers and S.M. Ulam, *On approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1945), 288-292.

[7] H.E. Lacey, *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.

[8] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **194** (1932), 946-948.

[9] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, *On non linear perturbations of isometries*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 617-628.

[10] S. Qian, *ε-isometric embeddings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1797-1803.

[11] J. Tabor, *Stability of surjectivity*, J. Approx. Theory **105** (2000), 166-175.

[12] J. Väisälä, *Isometric approximation property of unbounded sets*, preprint.

Peter Šemrl
Department of Mathematics
University of Ljubljana
Jadranska 19
SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
peter.semrl@fmf.uni-lj.si

Jussi Väisälä
Matematiikan laitos
Helsingin yliopisto
PL 4, Yliopistonkatu 5
FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland
jvaisala@cc.helsinki.fi